arXiv:0810.0589v1 [math.DS] 3 Oct 2008

Expansive homoclinic classes

Dawei Yang and Shaobo Gan
LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China
yangdw@math.pku.edu.cn, gansb@math.pku.edu.cn

October 3, 2008

Abstract

We prove that for C^1 generic diffeomorphisms, every expansive homoclinic class is hyperbolic.

Keywords: expansive, homoclinic class, hyperbolic.

MSC2000: 37C20, 37C29, 37D05.

1 Introduction

Let M be a d-dimensional boundaryless Riemannian manifold. Denote by $\mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$ the space of C^1 diffeomorphisms on M, endowed with the usual C^1 topology. For $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$, a compact invariant set Λ of f is called *hyperbolic*, if there is a continuous invariant splitting $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus E^u$ on Λ, and two constants $C \geq 1$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, such that for any $x \in \Lambda$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|Df^n|_{E^s(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n, \qquad \|Df^{-n}|_{E^u(x)}\| \leq C\lambda^n.$$

A periodic point p of f is *h*yperbolic if the orbit $\mathrm{Orb}(p) = \mathrm{Orb}_f(p)$ of p is a hyperbolic set. Denote by $\pi(p)$ the period of p.

A compact invariant set Λ of $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$ is called *expansive*, if there is $\alpha > 0$, such that for any $x, y \in \Lambda$, if $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \alpha$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x = y$. It is well-known that every hyperbolic set is expansive.

A subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathrm{M})$ is called *residual*, if it contains a countable intersection of open and dense subsets of $\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathrm{M})$. A property is called ($C^1$) *generic*, if it holds in a residual subset of $\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathrm{M})$. We use the terminology “for C^1 generic f” to express “there is a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}$”.

Given $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$, two hyperbolic periodic points p and q of f are called *homoclinically related* if $W^s(\mathrm{Orb}(p)) \pitchfork W^u(\mathrm{Orb}(q)) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^u(\mathrm{Orb}(p)) \pitchfork W^s(\mathrm{Orb}(q)) \neq \emptyset$, denoted as $p \sim_f q$, or simply $p \sim q$. If p and q are homoclinically related, their stable manifolds must have the same dimension. The homoclinic class of p is defined as $H(p) = \overline{\{q : \ q \sim p\}}$, which is a transitive compact invariant set of f. The study of homoclinic classes is an important topic in smooth dynamical systems, for instance,

- If f is Axiom A, Smale's spectral decomposition theorem says that the non-wandering set can be decomposed into finitely many basic sets, and each basic set is a homoclinic class.
- The chain recurrent set can be divided into (maybe infinitely many) chain recurrent classes. It was proved ([1]) that for C^1 generic f, a chain recurrent class containing a periodic point p is the homoclinic class $H(p)$.

The following proposition is our main technical result.

Proposition A. *For C^1 generic f, if a homoclinic class $H(p)$ is expansive, then there are three constants $\iota \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \geq 1$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that for any periodic point $q \sim_f p$ with period $\pi(q) > \iota$, and for any $x \in \mathrm{Orb}_f(q)$, we have*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{[\pi(q)/\iota]-1} \|Df^{\iota}|_{E^s(f^{j\iota}(x))}\| \leq K\lambda^{[\pi(q)/\iota]},$$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{[\pi(q)/\iota]-1} \|Df^{-\iota}|_{E^u(f^{j\iota}(x))}\| \leq K\lambda^{[\pi(q)/\iota]},$$

$$\|Df^{\iota}|_{E^s(x)}\| \cdot \|Df^{-\iota}|_{E^u(f^{\iota}(x))}\| \leq \lambda.$$

The relation of expansiveness and hyperbolicity of homoclinic classes has been discussed in [8, 9, 10, 11]. And it is essentially proved in [11] that under the assumptions and conclusions of Proposition A, the homoclinic class $H(p)$ is hyperbolic. So, we get

Theorem B. *For C^1 generic f, every expansive homoclinic class of f is hyperbolic.*

2 Proof of Proposition A

We will first prove some new generic properties and then use these generic properties and some known results to prove Proposition A. Let us introduce some terminologies first.

For $\eta > 0$ and $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$, a C^1 curve γ is called *η-simply periodic curve of f* if

- γ is diffeomorphic to $[0,1]$, and its two endpoints are hyperbolic periodic points of f;
- γ is periodic with period $\pi(\gamma)$, i.e., $f^{\pi(\gamma)}(\gamma)=\gamma$, and $\mathrm{l}(f^i(\gamma))<\eta$ for any $0\le i\le \pi(\gamma)-1$, where $\mathrm{l}(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ;
- γ is normally hyperbolic. (See [5] for the definition of normal hyperbolicity.)

Let p be a periodic point of f. For $\delta\in(0,1)$, we say p has a *δ-weak eigenvalue*, if $Df^{\pi(p)}(p)$ has an eigenvalue σ such that $(1-\delta)^{\pi(p)}<|\sigma|<(1+\delta)^{\pi(p)}$.

The following lemma gives three generic properties, which says (roughly) that if an arbitrary small perturbation of f has some "stable" property, then (C^1 generic) f itself has this property.

Lemma 2.1. *For C^1 generic f and any hyperbolic periodic point p of f,*

1. *for any $\eta>0$, if for any C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, some $g\in\mathcal{U}$ has an η-simply periodic curve γ, such that the two endpoints of γ are homoclinically related with p_g, then f has an 2η-simply periodic curve α such that the two endpoints of α are homoclinically related with p.*
2. *for any $\delta>0$, if for any C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, some $g\in\mathcal{U}$ has a periodic point $q\sim_g p_g$ with δ-weak eigenvalue, then f has a periodic point $q'\sim_f p$ with 2δ-weak eigenvalue.*
3. *for any $\delta>0$, if for any C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, some $g\in\mathcal{U}$ has a periodic point $q\sim_g p_g$ with δ-weak eigenvalue and every eigenvalue of q is real, then f has a periodic point $q'\sim_f p$ with 2δ-weak eigenvalue and every eigenvalue of q' is real.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of all compact subsets of M, endowed with the Hausdorff distance. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a compact separable metric space. Let $\mathscr{V}_1,\mathscr{V}_2,\cdots,\mathscr{V}_n,\cdots$, be a countable base of $\mathcal{C}$.

We prove item 1 first. We would like to introduce the terminologies of two types of compact invariant sets so that item 2 and 3 can be proved similarly. For any $\eta>0$, we call hyperbolic periodic orbits *type (I)*, and orbits of η-simply periodic curves *type (II$_\eta$)*. Both these two types of compact invariant sets have continuations ([5]): if Λ is a compact invariant set of f of type (I) or (II$_\eta$), then there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, a neighborhood $\mathscr{V}$ of Λ in $\mathcal{C}$, such that for any $g\in\mathcal{U}$, Λ has a unique continuation $\Lambda_g\in\mathscr{V}$ of type (I) or (II$_\eta$), and moreover, $\Lambda_g\to\Lambda$ as $g\to f$. We say that a type (I) set Λ^1 and a type (II$_\eta$) set Λ^2 have a relation $\leftrightsquigarrow_f$, if Λ^1 is homoclinically related with the two endpoints of Λ^2, denoted by $\Lambda^1\leftrightsquigarrow_f\Lambda^2$. This relation is stable: If $\Lambda^1\leftrightsquigarrow_f\Lambda^2$, then there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, such that for any $g\in\mathcal{U}$, we have $\Lambda^1_g\leftrightsquigarrow_g\Lambda^2_g$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_n(\eta)$ be the set of C^1 diffeomorphisms f, such that f has a type (I) set $\Lambda^1 \in \mathscr{V}_n$ and a type (II_η) set Λ^2 verifying that $\Lambda^1 \leftsquigarrow\!\!\rightsquigarrow_f \Lambda^2$. From the stability of the relation $\leftsquigarrow\!\!\rightsquigarrow$, $\mathcal{H}_n(\eta)$ is open. Let $\mathcal{N}_n(\eta) = \mathrm{Diff}^1(M) - \overline{\mathcal{H}_n(\eta)}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_n(\eta) \cup \mathcal{N}_n(\eta)$ is open and dense in $\mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$ from their definitions, $\mathcal{R}(\eta) = \cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{H}_n(\eta) \cup \mathcal{N}_n(\eta))$ is residual. And let $\mathcal{R} = \cap_{r\in\mathbb{Q},r>0}\mathcal{R}(r)$, which is also a residual subset. We will prove that if $f \in \mathcal{R}$, f has the properties in item 1.

For any $\eta > 0$, take $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\eta < r < 2\eta$. For any hyperbolic periodic point p of f, take a neighborhood $\mathscr{V}_n$ of $\mathrm{Orb}_f(p)$ in $\mathcal{C}$, such that $\mathrm{Orb}_f(p)$ is the unique compact invariant set belonging to $\mathscr{V}_n$. Since $\eta < r$, according to the assumption of item 1, for any C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, some $g \in \mathcal{U}$ has a r-simply periodic curve γ, such that the two endpoints of γ are homoclinically related with p_g. If the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f is small enough, the orbit of the continuation p_g is contained in $\mathscr{V}_n$ for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$. This implies that $f \notin \mathcal{N}_n(r)$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{H}_n(r) \subset \mathcal{H}_n(2\eta)$, which means that f has a periodic orbit $\mathscr{O} \in \mathscr{V}_n$ and a 2η-simply periodic curve, such that its endpoints are homoclinically related with $\mathscr{O}$. By the choice of $\mathscr{V}_n$, this periodic orbit $\mathscr{O}$ is $\mathrm{Orb}_f(p)$. This finishes the proof of item 1.

We can prove item 2 (and item 3) similarly by defining the type (II_δ) sets to be periodic orbits homoclinically related with p which have δ-weak eigenvalue (and every eigenvalue is real). $\square$

Remark 2.2. *According to item 2 of Lemma 2.1, for C^1 generic f and any $\delta > 0$, for any hyperbolic periodic point p of f, if every periodic point $q \sim_f p$ has no 2δ-weak eigenvalue, then there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$, such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, any periodic point $q \sim_g p_g$ has no δ-weak eigenvalue.*

Lemma 2.3. *For C^1 generic f, for any hyperbolic periodic point p of f, if for any $\delta > 0$, f has a periodic point $q \sim_f p$ with δ-weak eigenvalue, then f has a periodic point $p_1 \sim_f p$ with δ-weak eigenvalue, whose eigenvalues are all real.*

Proof. Assume that for some periodic point $q \sim_f p$ with δ-weak eigenvalue, $Df^{\pi(q)}(q)$ has some complex eigenvalues. As in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.16], an arbitrarily small perturbation g of f has a periodic point $p_1 \sim_g q_g$ with δ-weak eigenvalue and all eigenvalues of p_1 are real. Since $p_1 \sim_g q_g$ and $q_g \sim_g p_g$ imply that $p_1 \sim_g p_g$, according to item 3 of Lemma 2.1, C^1 generic f has this property itself. $\square$

Proof of Proposition A. For C^1 generic f, assume that $H(p)$ is an expansive homoclinic class. We first claim that there is $\delta_0 > 0$, such that for any periodic point $q \sim p$, q has no δ_0-weak eigenvalue. Otherwise, for any $\delta > 0$, $H(p)$ contains a periodic point $q_\delta \sim_f p$ with δ-weak eigenvalue. Then by Lemma 2.3, $H(p)$ contains a periodic point $q'_\delta \sim_f p$ with δ-weak eigenvalue, and whose eigenvalues are real. From [10, Section 4], for any $\eta > 0$, for any C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, some $g \in \mathcal{U}$ has an η-simply periodic curve γ, whose endpoints are homoclinically related with p_g. By item 1 of Lemma 2.1, for any $\eta > 0$, f itself has a 2η-simply periodic curve α, such that the endpoints of α are homoclinically related with p. Then all iterates of the two endpoints of α have distance $< 2\eta$, which contradicts the expansiveness of $H(p)$.

According to Remark 2.2, (for C^1 generic f), there is a C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, any periodic point $q \sim_g p_g$ has no $\delta_0/2$-weak eigenvalue.

Gourmelon proved ([4, Theorem 2.1]) an extension of Franks Lemma ([3, 7]), which preserves the (un)stable manifolds: For any neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, there is $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for any hyperbolic periodic point q of f, for any sequence of linear isomorphisms $\{L_i : T_{f^i q}M \to T_{f^{i+1}q}M\}_{i=0}^{\pi(q)-1}$ verifying $\|Df(f^i(q)) - L_i\| < \varepsilon$ for $0 \le i \le \pi(q) - 1$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$, for any $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1]$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{U}$, such that $f^i(q) = g^i(q)$, $Dg(f^i(q)) = L_i$, and $g = f$ outside of $B_{\delta_2}(\mathrm{Orb}_f(q))$; moreover, if $y \in W^s(f^i q) - B_{\delta_2}(f^i q)$ for some $0 \le i \le \pi(q) - 1$, and $f^k y \in B_{\delta_2}(f^i q)$ for some $k > 0$ implies $f^k y \in W^s_{\delta_2}(f^i q)$, then $y \in W^s(g^i q, g)$, where

$$W^s_{\delta_2}(x) = \{z \in M | \forall n \ge 0,\ d(f^n x, f^n z) \le \delta_2\}$$

is the local stable manifold of x with size δ_2 with respect to f and $W^s(x, g)$ is the stable manifold of x with respect to g. Similar conclusion also holds for the unstable manifolds. So, we can give a perturbation of the derivatives along a periodic orbit which preserves the homoclinic relation simultaneously.

Since there is a C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f, such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, any periodic point $q \sim_g p_g$ has no $\delta_0/2$-weak eigenvalue, according to the extension of Franks' lemma described above,

$$\{Df(q), Df(f(q)), \cdots, Df(f^{\pi(q)-1}(q)) : q \sim_f p\}$$

is a uniformly hyperbolic family of periodic sequences of isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see [7, Page524-525] for more details). By [7, Lemma II.3], there are three constants $\iota \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \ge 1$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, such that for any periodic point $q \sim_f p$ with period $\pi(q) > \iota$, for any $x \in \mathrm{Orb}_f(q)$, we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{[\pi(q)/\iota]-1} \|Df^{\iota}|_{E^s(f^{j\iota}(x))}\| \le K\lambda^{[\pi(q)/\iota]},$$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{[\pi(q)/\iota]-1} \|Df^{-\iota}|_{E^u(f^{j\iota}(x))}\| \le K\lambda^{[\pi(q)/\iota]},$$

$$\|Df^{\iota}|_{E^s(x)}\| \cdot \|Df^{-\iota}|_{E^u(f^{\iota}(x))}\| \le \lambda.$$

$\square$

Acknowledgments. *We would like to thank Prof. L. Wen for useful discussions. Remark 2.2 was pointed out to us by Christian Bonatti, for whom we should thank a lot. We also want to thank Martin Sambarino, who kindly explained his work with J. Vieitez to us at Trieste. This work is supported by NSFC (10531010) and MOST (2006CB805903).*

References

[1] C. Bonatti and S. Crovisier, Récurrence et généricité, *Invent. Math.*, **158** (2004), 33-104.

[2] C. Bonatti, L. Díaz and E. Pujals, A C^1-generic dichotomy for diffeomorphisms: Weak forms of hyperbolicity or infinitely many sinks or sources, *Annals of Math.* **158** (2003), 355-418.

[3] J. Franks, Neccssary conditions for stabilty of diffeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **158** (1971), 301-308.

[4] N. Gourmelon, A Franks' lemma that preserves invariant manifolds, *preprint*, 2008.

[5] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant manifolds, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **583** Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[6] R. Mañé, Expansive diffeomorphisms, Proc. Sympos. on Dynamical Systems (Univ. of Warwick, 1974), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* **468** (1975), 162-174.

[7] R. Mañé, An ergodic closing lemma, *Ann. Math.*, **116**(1982), 503-540.

[8] M. Pacifico, E. Pujals, M. Sambarino and J. Vieitez, Robustly expansive codimension-one homoclinic classes are hyperbolic, *preprint*, 2008.

[9] M. Pacifico, E. Pujals and J. Vieitez, Robustly expansive homoclinic classes, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **25**(2005), 271-300.

[10] M. Sambarino and J. Vieitez, On C^1-persistently expansive homoclinic classes, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **14**(2006), 465–481.

[11] M. Sambarino and J. Vieitez, C^1-robustly expansive homoclinic classes are generically hyperbolic, *preprint*, 2008.